

Pursuant to N.D.A.C 115-05-01-03 and N.D.A.C. 115-05-01-06, disclosure of the potential appearance of bias in Quasi-Judicial proceeding is required. This allows directors, officers, commissioners, heads, or other executives of agencies to input information and attach relevant documentation.

The Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall document the facts and decision regarding any disclosures. Upon completion, the Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall provide a copy of the form to the North Dakota Ethics Commission.

Reporting Official's Name: Sandra DePountis
Associated Entity/Organization: North Dakota Board of Medicine
Title or Position of Reporting Official: Executive Director
Phone #: (701) 450-4060
Email Address: sdepountis@ndbom.org
Detailed Description of Potential Appearance of Bias Conflict of Interest:
Dr. Armstrong recused herself in Case #4.7 pursuant to North Dakota Century Code section 43-17-07.2 : Conflict of Interest in that at as a Board member, she "may not participate in the making of any decision or the taking of any action affecting that member's personal, professional, or pecuniary interest, or that of a known relative or business or professional associate."
1

Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve a party to the proceeding? Not at the time of recusal

Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve the issues of the proceeding? It involved a case for potential discipline of a licensee of the North Dakota Board of Medicine

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the size of the campaign monetary or in-kind support? N/A

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the degree of involvement in the campaign? N/A

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – Did the campaign monetary or in-kind support include the current or immediately preceding election cycle? N/A

Determination – Please provide information on the 1. Final determination 2. Process for determination, 3. Determining individual or body:

At the beginning of the meeting of the NDBOM's Investigatory Panel, Dr. Armstrong disclosed conflicts of interest in case #4.7 and therefore was required to recuse herself from the case, discussions, and vote – as required by North Dakota Board of Medicine statute 43-17-07.2.

Signature: Sandra DePountís



Pursuant to N.D.A.C 115-05-01-03 and N.D.A.C. 115-05-01-06, disclosure of the potential appearance of bias in Quasi-Judicial proceeding is required. This allows directors, officers, commissioners, heads, or other executives of agencies to input information and attach relevant documentation.

The Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall document the facts and decision regarding any disclosures. Upon completion, the Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall provide a copy of the form to the North Dakota Ethics Commission.

Reporting Official's Name: Sandra DePountis
Associated Entity/Organization: North Dakota Board of Medicine
Title or Position of Reporting Official: Executive Director
Phone #: (701) 450-4060
Email Address: sdepountis@ndbom.org
Detailed Description of Potential Appearance of Bias-Conflict of Interest:
Dr. Bree Dewing recused herself in Case #3.3 pursuant to North Dakota Century Code section 43-17-07.2 : Conflict of Interest in that at as a Board member, she "may not participate in the making of any decision or the taking of any action affecting that member's personal, professional, or pecuniary interest, or that of a known relative or business or professional associate."
Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve a party to the proceeding? Not at the time of recusal
1

Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve the issues of the proceeding? It involved a case for potential discipline of a licensee of the North Dakota Board of Medicine For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the size of the campaign monetary or in-kind support? N/A For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the degree of involvement in the campaign? N/A

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – Did the campaign monetary or in-kind support include the current or immediately preceding election cycle? N/A

Determination – Please provide information on the 1. Final determination 2. Process for determination, 3. Determining individual or body:

At the beginning of the meeting of the NDBOM's Investigatory Panel, Dr. Dewing disclosed a conflict of interest in case #3.3 and therefore was required to recuse herself from the case, discussion, and vote —as required by North Dakota Board of Medicine statute 43-17-07.2.

Signature: Sandra DePountís



Pursuant to N.D.A.C 115-05-01-03 and N.D.A.C. 115-05-01-06, disclosure of the potential appearance of bias in Quasi-Judicial proceeding is required. This allows directors, officers, commissioners, heads, or other executives of agencies to input information and attach relevant documentation.

The Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall document the facts and decision regarding any disclosures. Upon completion, the Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall provide a copy of the form to the North Dakota Ethics Commission.

Reporting Official's Name: Sandra DePountis
Associated Entity/Organization: North Dakota Board of Medicine
Title or Position of Reporting Official: Executive Director
Phone #: (701) 450-4060
Email Address: sdepountis@ndbom.org
Detailed Description of Potential Appearance of Bias Conflict of Interest:
Dr. Johnson recused herself in Case #3.8 pursuant to North Dakota Century Code section 43-17-07.2 :
Conflict of Interest in that at as a Board member, she "may not participate in the making of any
decision or the taking of any action affecting that member's personal, professional, or pecuniary
interest, or that of a known relative or business or professional associate."
I

Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve a party to the proceeding? Not at the time of recusal Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve the issues of the proceeding? It involved a case for potential discipline of a licensee of the North Dakota Board of Medicine

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the size of the campaign monetary or in-kind support? N/A For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the degree of involvement in the campaign? N/A

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – Did the campaign monetary or in-kind support include the current or immediately preceding election cycle? N/A

Determination – Please provide information on the 1. Final determination 2. Process for determination, 3. Determining individual or body:

At the beginning of the meeting of the NDBOM's Investigatory Panel, Dr. Johnson disclosed conflicts of interest in case #3.8 and therefore was required to recuse herself from the cases, discussions, and vote — as required by North Dakota Board of Medicine statute 43-17-07.2.

Signature: Sandra DePountís



Pursuant to N.D.A.C 115-05-01-03 and N.D.A.C. 115-05-01-06, disclosure of the potential appearance of bias in Quasi-Judicial proceeding is required. This allows directors, officers, commissioners, heads, or other executives of agencies to input information and attach relevant documentation.

The Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall document the facts and decision regarding any disclosures. Upon completion, the Neutral Reviewer or director, officer, commissioner, head, or other executive shall provide a copy of the form to the North Dakota Ethics Commission.

Reporting Official's Name: Sandra DePountis
Associated Entity/Organization: North Dakota Board of Medicine
Title or Position of Reporting Official: Executive Director
Phone #: (701) 450-4060
Email Address: sdepountis@ndbom.org
Detailed Description of Potential Appearance of Bias Conflict of Interest:
Dr. Quast recused himself in Cases #3.3 and 5.2 pursuant to North Dakota Century Code section 43-17-07.2: Conflict of Interest in that at as a Board member, he "may not participate in the making of any decision or the taking of any action affecting that member's personal, professional, or pecuniary interest, or that of a known relative or business or professional associate."

Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve a party to the proceeding? Not at the time of recusal Did the appearance of bias conflict of interest involve the issues of the proceeding? It involved a case for potential discipline of a licensee of the North Dakota Board of Medicine

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the size of the campaign monetary or in-kind support? N/A For campaign monetary or in-kind support – the degree of involvement in the campaign? N/A

For campaign monetary or in-kind support – Did the campaign monetary or in-kind support include the current or immediately preceding election cycle? N/A

Determination – Please provide information on the 1. Final determination 2. Process for determination, 3. Determining individual or body:

At the beginning of the meeting of the NDBOM's Investigatory Panel, Dr. Quast disclosed conflicts of interest in cases #3.3 and 5.2 and therefore was required to recuse himself from the cases, discussions, and vote – as required by North Dakota Board of Medicine statute 43-17-07.2.

Signature: Sandra DePountís